1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3		
4	March 1, 2011 - 10:08 a.m. NHPUC MAR16'11 AM11:1	
5	Concord, New 1	nampshille
6	RE:	DT 11-024
7	KE.	UNION TELEPHONE COMPANY, INC.: Petition for Approval of an Alternative
8		Form of Regulation. (Prehearing conference)
9		(riencuring conference)
10		
11	PRESENT:	Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding Commissioner Clifton C. Below
12		
13		Sandy Deno, Clerk
14	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Union Telephone Company: Paul J. Phillips, Esq. (Primmer Piper)
15		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:
16		Rorie E. P. Hollenberg, Esq. Stephen Eckberg
17		Office of Consumer Advocate
18		Reptg. PUC Staff: Matthew J. Fossum, Esq.
19		Kate Bailey, Director/Telecom Division Michael Ladam, Telecom Division
20		
21		
22		
23	Cou	rt Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24		

 \Diamond

 \bigcirc

ORIGINAL

1	
2	INDEX
3	PAGE NO.
4	STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY POSITION BY:
5	Mr. Phillips 4
б	Ms. Hollenberg 5
7	Mr. Fossum 5
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	{DT 11-024} [Prehearing conference] {03-01-11}

1	PROCEEDING
2	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good morning,
3	everyone. We'll open the prehearing conference in Docket
4	DT 11-024. On February 4, 2011, Union Telephone filed a
5	petition for an Alternative Form of Regulation pursuant to
6	RSA 374:3-b. We issued an order of notice on February 14
7	that set the prehearing conference for this morning. I'll
8	point out for the record that the Office of Consumer
9	Advocate has filed notice that it would be participating
10	in this proceeding, and that the Company has filed its
11	affidavit of publication. There does not appear to be any
12	other petitions to intervene.
13	So, let's just take appearances, and
14	then we'll start with the opportunity for the Petitioner
15	to give its statement of the case. Mr. Phillips.
16	MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
17	Commissioner Below. I'm Paul Phillips, from the Primmer,
18	Piper, Eggleston & Cramer, appearing today for Union
19	Telephone Company. And, accompanied by Mr. Tom Murray,
20	who is the Manager of External Relations for TDS Telecom,
21	which is Union's parent company. And, Mr. Murray is the
22	manager for New Hampshire.
23	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.
24	MS. HOLLENBERG: Good morning. Rorie
	{DT 11-024} [Prehearing conference] $\{03-01-11\}$

1	Hollenberg and Stephen Eckberg, here for the Office of	
2	Consumer Advocate.	
3	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.	
4	MR. FOSSUM: And, good morning. Matthew	
5	Fossum, for the Staff of the Commission. And, with me	
6	today are Kate Bailey and Michael Ladam from Commission	
7	Staff.	
8	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning. Mr.	
9	Phillips.	
10	MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	
11	Union Telephone has filed for approval of an Alternative	
12	Form of Regulation pursuant to RSA 374:3-b. As you know,	
13	Union is a New Hampshire affiliate of TDS Telecom. Four	
14	other TDS Telecom affiliates have previously filed for	
15	alternative forms of regulation under the same statute.	
16	The purpose of the filing is to bring Union into a status	
17	that is consistent with the other TDS companies. But, as	
18	you can see from the Petition and the exhibits that we	
19	filed, there is a compelling distinction in Union	
20	Telephone's case, which is that there is a competitive	
21	alternative, in the form of Metrocast Cablevision, that is	
22	serving most, if not all, of the exchanges of Union	
23	Telephone Company right now. And, so, the timing here is	
24	very crucial for us.	

{DT 11-024} [Prehearing conference] {03-01-11}

1 Part of our petition filing was a public exhibit, Exhibit G -- or, Exhibit 2, Attachment G, which 2 3 shows that Union Telephone, over the course of the last 4 six years, has lost 32.5 percent of its access lines. 5 And, nearly half of those line losses occurred in the year 6 2010. And, so, we have a situation where competitors, 7 that are not regulated in the same fashion, are operating, competing very actively in Union Telephone's territory. 8 9 And, so, the petition today is an attempt to bring some 10 parity, some rough parity to Union's status in relation to 11 its competitors. 12 And, so, we're hopeful that we can 13 proceed expeditiously with this matter and obtain the 14 Commission's approval as quickly as we can, because our 15 view is that every day counts, in terms of meeting the 16 competitive challenge that we face. Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Thank you. Ms. 18 Hollenberg. 19 MS. HOLLENBERG: Thank you. Good 20 morning. The OCA has no position on the filing at this 21 time. And, we look forward to working cooperatively with 22 the parties to reach a resolution. 23 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Mr. Fossum. 24 MR. FOSSUM: Thank you. As Mr. Phillips {DT 11-024} [Prehearing conference] {03-01-11}

has already said, like the companies in the 07-027 docket, 1 Union is a subsidiary of TDS. But, unlike those 2 3 utilities, it does have the benefit of following that petition. And, in that regard, it indicated -- Staff's 4 5 initial review indicates that this petition more directly addresses some of the issues in 374:3-b that had been of 6 7 concern to the Commission with regard to competitive alternatives and availability. 8 9 And, as Mr. Phillips has also said, it 10 appears from our review that a majority of customers may 11 be served by Metrocast voice offering. And, as the Commission is certainly aware, Metrocast was, I guess, 12 13 ultimately certified as a CLEC in Union's territory 14 earlier this year, and has agreed to aid Union in this 15 petition. Staff believes that that will aid Union in 16 developing a more thorough, more effective factual record 17 than had been done in the prior docket. 18 At the time, Staff does not take any position on the petition. But it does appear the petition 19 20 addresses matters that had been of greatest concern to the 21 Commission. And, I would also like to note that it 22 appears that this alternative regulation plan that was 23 filed along with the petition is, in all relevant 24 respects, the same as the ones that the Commission had

{DT 11-024} [Prehearing conference] {03-01-11}

approved in 07-027. 1 2 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. 3 MR. FOSSUM: Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. 5 CMSR. BELOW: Just to be clear, 6 Mr. Phillips, I think that you said that "Metrocast was 7 available in most of the exchanges served by Union Telephone". Don't you assert that it's available in all 8 9 of the exchanges? 10 MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Commissioner Below. 11 I may have misspoken. Metrocast is available in all of the exchanges, and serves most, if not all, of the 12 13 customers in those exchanges. 14 CMSR. BELOW: Okay. Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Anything further 16 this morning? 17 (No verbal response) 18 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing nothing, then I take it there will be a technical session and will be a 19 20 filing from the parties proposing a procedural schedule. 21 So, if there's nothing else, we'll close the prehearing 22 conference. Thank you, everyone. 23 (Whereupon the prehearing conference 24 ended at 10:15 a.m.)

7

{DT 11-024} [Prehearing conference] {03-01-11}